

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Application No.</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Applicant(s)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10/521,288</td> <td>FLASINSKI, STANISLAW</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Examiner</td> <td>Art Unit</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ashwin Mehta</td> <td>1638</td> </tr> </table>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10/521,288	FLASINSKI, STANISLAW	Examiner	Art Unit	Ashwin Mehta	1638
Application No.	Applicant(s)								
10/521,288	FLASINSKI, STANISLAW								
Examiner	Art Unit								
Ashwin Mehta	1638								

All Participants:

(1) Ashwin Mehta.

(2) Rudeina Baasiri.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 8 depends from 2 previous claims which are not referred to in the alternative. An Examiner's amendment was proposed to deleted reference to one of those claims, and approved by Applicant.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ashwin Mehta/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)